

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/729,581

Applicant(s)

KEEFE ET AL.

Examiner

Mark Staples

Art Unit

1637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark Staples
Stephanie Mummert

(3) John Harre

(2) Ivor Elnifi

(4) Michale Biro

Date of Interview: 25 September 2008

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pieken, Brieba, Sousa, Padilla, Milligan, and Kujau.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 10 873 856 also discussed, Examiner Munnert joined by phone. Applicants agreed to amend the claims to recite concentrations of Mg and Mn and other claim amendments that overcome the cited prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

n/mkz / Taylor 09/25/2008